



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/775,517	02/02/01	GRABOWSKI		G	
026874	TONE 110	HM22/1030	コ	OZGA, B	EXAMINER
FROST BROWN TODD, L 2200 PNC CENTER 201 E. FIFTH STREET CINCINNATI OH 45202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C 1140 2140				DATE MAILED:	10/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	_						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· /		09/775,517	GRABOWSKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brett T Ozga	1651				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If No - Failu	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the ply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may son. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or						
2a) <u></u> ☐	77113 4011017 10 1 11 13 12	This action is non-final.	setters proposition as to the merits is				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
-	on of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme		,					
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 56 and 53 are substantial duplicates. If claim 53 were found allowable, claim 56 would need to be cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 51,53,54,56,58 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rader et al. (FASEB J. vol. 10, 1996, page A233)

The instant application claims a method for providing biologically active lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide, or mixtures thereof, to cells of a mammal having deficiency in biologically active lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide, said method comprising administration into cells a vector comprising and expressing a DNA sequence encoding biologically active lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide, and expressing the DNA sequence in said cells to produce biologically active lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide. Dependent claims further limit by choosing



Art Unit: 1651

lysosomal acid lipase as the biologically active lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide.

They further limit by choosing a viral vector (lentivirus, adenovirus, adeno-associated virus and virus-like vectors) as the vector.

Rader et al. teach a method for providing biologically active lysosomal acid lipase, or mixtures thereof, to cells of a human having deficiency in biologically active lysosomal acid lipase, said method comprising administration into cells an adenoviral vector comprising and expressing a DNA sequence encoding biologically active lysosomal acid lipase, and expressing the DNA sequence in said cells to produce biologically active lysosomal acid lipase. (See abstract.)

Claims 19,20,23,28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sigma Chemie, product catalog.(1996, Sigma Chemical Co. p. 271)

The instant application claims a method for treatment of atherosclerosis in a mammal comprising administering to said mammal a safe and effective amount of a lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide, or mixtures thereof, sufficient to treat said condition. Dependent claims further limit by having the protein or polypeptide target a receptor site for uptake into lysosomes. They further limit by choosing lysosomal acid lipase as the protein. They further limit by choosing a lipase with more or fewer than six N-linked acetylglycosyaltion residues and wherein the residues are oligosaccharide-terminated (mannose) They further limit by exogenously producing the protein. The



Art Unit: 1651

claims further limit by placing the protein in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and administering orally, parenterally, by injection, intravenous infusion, inhalation, controlled dosage release or intraperitoneal administration.

Sigma Chemical Co. teaches claims a method for treatment of atherosclerosis in a mammal comprising administering to said mammal a safe and effective amount of a pharmaceutical acceptable carrier and an exogenously produced lysosomal acid lipase which targets a receptor site for uptake into lysosomes. They teach the lipase with more or fewer than six N-linked acetylglycosylation residues wherein the residues are mannose-terminated (See p. 271)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rader et al. in view of Du et al. (AM J. HUMAN GENET, vol. 57, 1995 page A178).



Art Unit: 1651

Rader et al. teach a method for providing biologically active lysosomal acid lipase, or mixtures thereof, to cells of a human having deficiency in biologically active lysosomal acid lipase, said method comprising administration into cells an adenoviral vector comprising and expressing a DNA sequence encoding biologically active lysosomal acid lipase, and expressing the DNA sequence in said cells to produce biologically active lysosomal acid lipase.

They also teach administering a lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide to catalyze the hydrolysis of cholesteryl esters and triglycerides in the lyosomes of cells.

Rader et al. do not specifically teach administering that lipid hydrolyzing protein or polypeptide to reduce atherosclerotic plaques in a mammal.

Du et al. teach a process for to reduce atherosclerotic plaques in a mammal.

It would have been prima facie obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Rader et al. by the additions of the teachings of Du et al. Rader et al. is already drawn to triglycerides in cells, so to use the lipase of Rader et al. to reduce atherosclerotic plaques would be obvious. Thus, in view of the cited references, the artisan of ordinary skill would have been motivated to have practiced the process as recited in the claims.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brett T Ozga whose telephone number is 7033050634. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 0530-1500, 2nd Wednesday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 7033084743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 7033084242 for regular communications and 7033053014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 7033080196.

BTO October 30, 2001

> SANDRA E. SAUCIER PRIMARY EXAMINER